UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER	21 MC 100 (AKH)
DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	DOCKETNO
MICHAEL KANE	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, r all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	I with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, MICHAEL KANE, by his/her/their NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s),	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
T DAT	OTTEC
	RTIES
A. PLAIN	NTIFF(S)
1. ☑ Plaintiff, MICHAEL KANE (hereicitizen of New York residing at 546 North 10th Street, (OI	
2. Alternatively, ☐ is a, and brings this claim in his (her) c	
, and brings this claim in his (her) c	capacity as of the Estate of

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-10906-ARH Documen	1 Filed 11/06/2007 Page 2 01 11		
3. citizen of		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the		
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times I, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:		
4.	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 6/5/20	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police		
Department ((NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	j		
j	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations		
Location(s) (d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for		
	bout <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>6/5/2002;</u> ly <u>13</u> hours per day; for	Approximately days total.		
Approximate	ly <u>43</u> days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
☐ The New From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;		
Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates or dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all date the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9, ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FOINT EXCAVATING CORP ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10908-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has			
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10908-AKH Page 8 of 11 Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.		√	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset:			Date of onset: <u>4/18/2007</u>
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		√	Other Injury: Medical Monitoring
	Date of onset:		-	Date of onset: 4/18/2007
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
	, 0			, ,
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	f th	ne injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groui	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or			
dama	ges:			
====		==	=====	
\checkmark	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
	earning capacity			
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
	retirement benefits			
\checkmark	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
	rehabilitation			
\checkmark	Other:			
	✓ Mental anguish			
	✓ Disability			
	✓ Medical monitoring			

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Michael Kane

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

Case 1:07-cv-10908-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 11 of 11 Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK MICHAEL KANE, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at

on______20___ at____M.
Dated,
Yours, etc.,
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP